



UDLR Working Group

RFC 3077

Moving from proposed standard
to draft standard

53nd IETF – March 19th 2002
Minneapolis – US

Emmanuel Duros

eduros@udcast.com



IETF Process (RFC 2026)

- ✍ For the specification:

- ✍ At least 2 independent and interoperable implementations
- ✍ Different code base
- ✍ RFC must be at least 6 months old

- ✍ For each feature of the specification:

- ✍ Independent and interoperable implementation applies to all features
- ✍ “the WG chair is responsible for documenting...testing of the interoperation”

UDLR implementation & deployment



- ✍ Various implementations available : Hitachi, Ipricot, Sony, Thomcast, UDcast
- ✍ Hitachi, Sony and Udcast implementations tested and interoperable
- ✍ Heard from operators that Ipricot, Thomcast and Udcast are interoperable
- ✍ Features which are interoperable: dynamic detection of a feed and setting up a GRE tunnel
- ✍ Features which are not tested or interoperable: None
- ✍ Rough estimation of the deployment: Asia (>100), Europe (>200), US (2)